

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323502876>

# The global need for lived experience leadership

Article in *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal* · March 2018

DOI: 10.1037/prj0000289

CITATION

1

READS

167

### 3 authors:



Louise Byrne

RMIT University

38 PUBLICATIONS 258 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Anthony Stratford

Mind Australia

2 PUBLICATIONS 1 CITATION

SEE PROFILE



Larry Davidson

Yale University

369 PUBLICATIONS 10,501 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Citizenship [View project](#)



Work Integration for People with Severe Mental Illness [View project](#)

All content following this page was uploaded by [Anthony Stratford](#) on 13 March 2018.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

## SPEAKING OUT

# The Global Need for Lived Experience Leadership

Louise Byrne  
Central Queensland University

Anthony Stratford  
Mind Australia and Yale University

Larry Davidson  
Yale University

**Topic:** Common challenges and experiences of the lived experience/peer workforce globally are considered, with an emphasis on ensuring that future developments both protect and promote the unique lived experience perspective. **Purpose:** In the Western world, rapid growth in lived experience roles has led to an urgent need for training and workforce development. However, research indicates the roles risk being coopted without clear lived experience leadership, which is often not occurring. In developing countries and in many Western contexts, the lived experience role has not yet been accepted within the mental health workforce. The need for lived experience leadership to guide these issues is highlighted. **Sources:** Peer-reviewed research, relevant gray literature, and professional experience in countries where little published material currently exists. **Conclusions and Implications for Practice:** A window of opportunity currently exists to maximize lived experience leadership, and that window may be closing fast if broad-based actions are not initiated now.

**Keywords:** consumers, lived experience leadership, peer work, mental health services, coproduction

One of the most crucial and least recognized elements of the recovery approach is the role of persons with experience of recovery at three levels (Davidson, Ridgway, O'Connell, & Kirk, 2014; Davidson et al., 2007). First, persons diagnosed with mental illness need to be empowered to pursue a life of meaning and purpose, as well as educated to manage their own care—in most services, care management remains the sole purview of the treatment team. At the second level, persons in recovery can inspire hope and provide empathy, advocacy, and assistance navigating the mental health system and the broader community (e.g., peer workers). Third, consistent with the disability rights vision of “nothing about us without us,” persons with lived experience of recovery need to occupy senior leadership roles impacting social policy, system management, planning, education, program development, and evaluation. While varying degrees of progress are being made at the first two levels, it is, in our opinion, the third level that is presently the most critical and yet least advanced. Despite notable exceptions, the mental health sector largely lacks formal leadership positions allocated to persons with lived experience of recovery (Health Workforce Australia, 2013). The few

senior positions that do exist are dispersed across a vast array of role types and service settings, including government, public, not-for-profit, and private organizations, from metropolitan to rural and remote locations (Byrne, Happell, & Reid-Searl, 2017). Despite diversity across settings and role types, people employed in lived experience positions appear universally to face similar challenges. The focus of this article is the broad lived experience workforce, inclusive of many roles. Reports from North America, Canada, New Zealand, Australia, and the United Kingdom demonstrate common issues regarding workforce development needs, including remuneration, lack of role clarity, career trajectory, risk of cooptation, and poor access to training, supervision, or support (Crane, Lepicki, & Knudsen, 2016; Moran, Russinova, Gidugu, & Gagne, 2013). Limited time frames for lived experience initiatives (Chinman et al., 2015) and limited funding and resources for research (O'Hagan, 2012) inhibit the development of a robust evidence base. In developing countries and in many Western contexts, lived experience roles, such as peer workers, are often not accepted meaningfully by the wider mental health workforce (Davidson, 2015; Silver & Nemeč, 2016). This lack of perceived credibility results in discrimination and defensiveness on the part of mental health professionals, as well as frequent professional isolation for peer workers (Ahmed, Hunter, Mabe, Tucker, & Buckley, 2015; Happell et al., 2015; Perkins & Slade, 2012). Although urgent development of a well-prepared, effective workforce is needed, research indicates lived experience roles risk being coopted without clear lived experience leadership (Davidson, 2015). Training and workforce development needs to be underpinned by articulated, agreed ideas of what lived experience work is and what informs it. Currently, there are no formal theories overarching lived experience

---

Louise Byrne, School of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Sciences, Central Queensland University; Anthony Stratford, Chief Executive's Office, Mind Australia and Program for Recovery and Community Health, Yale University; Larry Davidson, Program for Recovery and Community Health, Yale University.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Louise Byrne, who is now at School of Management, RMIT University Melbourne, Level 9, Building 80, 445 Swanston Street, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. E-mail: [l.byrne@cqu.edu.au](mailto:l.byrne@cqu.edu.au)

work, identifying what the unique and unified vision is (Davidson, Bellamy, Guy, & Miller, 2012). This lack of training and formal theory creates great disparity in the preparedness of lived experience workers and adds to the lack of credibility in the eyes of some mental health professionals (Cronise, Teixeira, Rogers, & Harrington, 2016; Tobin, Chen, & Leathley, 2002). In a catch-22, this lack of credibility likely inhibits lived experience roles being developed at leadership levels, limiting opportunities for persons with lived experience to advocate for or design widely available training or to conduct research to strengthen theoretical underpinnings.

### The Southern Hemisphere: Progress and Barriers

In Australia, the recent development of executive-level lived experience roles in some not-for-profit and public mental health services has led to greater acknowledgment of the value of, and consequently increased priority to employ, lived experience workers. In one example, a lived experience leader assisted the organization to be more aware of evidence on the benefits of lived experience roles, ultimately leading to a 300% increase in designated lived experience roles (Richmond, 2015). This is still an isolated example, and the need for lived experience leadership is most urgent in countries without a strong mental health consumer/survivor movement. Presently, in the Southern Hemisphere, the only countries featuring recovery in national policy are Australia and New Zealand. The remainder of the Southern Hemisphere, including Asia and South America, emphasizes concepts of biological illness and external control of symptoms, predominantly through the use of medication (Deacon, 2013). This is not to say that due to national policy, the service systems in Australia and New Zealand are fully recovery oriented. Recovery-oriented policies and practices are still acknowledged as emergent (Shera & Ramon, 2013; Walker, Perkins, & Repper, 2014). However, the lived experience workforce is particularly struggling to emerge in certain areas of Asia. Where it does exist, such as in Hong Kong and Singapore, tight “control” of peer staff through a benevolent approach is common (Tse, Cheung, Kan, Ng, & Yau, 2012). Japan and China have a very fledging lived experience workforce (Fujita et al., 2010), and this has to operate in a climate that enforces a narrow biomedical model. In Indonesia, a country of 250 million people, there are approximately 20 trained peer supporters. Community mental health is practically nonexistent. The mental health system is based on large institutions, and the few peers work in local community health centers. Indonesia has approximately 700 psychiatrists, and 97% work in the capital, Jakarta. It could be surmised that with the evidence demonstrating the effectiveness of lived experience, particularly peer workers (Myrick & Del Vecchio, 2016), and the proportionately lower cost of training and employing people with lived experience, the lived workforce could play an essential role in mental health recovery in countries with constrained health budgets. However, this would first require identifying and supporting lived experience leaders who could then develop a trained and supervised peer workforce.

### Lived Experience Leadership in Recovery Orientation

We argue there is an existing space within the mental health sector where lived experience leaders are best qualified to address critical issues for which professionalized (i.e., traditional) knowl-

edge has not been effective. This may be especially true where mental health professionals have adapted concepts of recovery to fit within medically dominant systems (Shera & Ramon, 2013; Slade, Adams, & O’Hagan, 2012). Unlike some areas within mental health, the concept of recovery is most deeply understood, both on an individual and collective level, by people with a lived experience. Conversely, mental health professions and systems have struggled to embrace recovery (Farkas, 2007), often misinterpreting and “misusing” the concepts (Slade et al., 2014). People with a lived experience can bring clarity and profound, personal understanding of recovery to the broader field (Slade et al., 2012; Vayshenker et al., 2016), when provided opportunities to meaningfully input and collaborate (Cleary, Walter, & Hungerford, 2014).

### Collaboration, Coproduction, and Championing

The significance of allies, including a willingness to share and even relinquish power, is crucial to the success of lived experience leadership (Baldwin & Sadd, 2006). Although people with a lived experience began promoting recovery concepts in the 1800s, it was not until the early 1990s and the work of William Anthony (Anthony, 1993) and other allies that governments and systems started to pay attention. Ultimately, these established members of the mental health system championed lived experience devised concepts, while working in collaboration with lived experience leaders, and greatly contributed to transformed priorities and policy in Western nations (Jacobson & Curtis, 2000). Similarly, within coproduction, the joint design and development of mental health initiatives by mental health professionals/policy makers and lived experience workers (Petraakis et al., 2014; Rhodes et al., 2014), power must be shared and the authority of lived experience regarding recovery concepts must be accepted (Perkins & Slade, 2012). There remains a risk of tokenistic participation as long as power is not shared and the lived experience contribution does not have equal authority (Boyle & Harris, 2009). Tokenism is much less likely to occur when people with a lived experience are employed in roles with “real-world” impact, further supporting the need for ongoing development of senior and executive-level lived experience roles (Byrne, Happell, & Reid-Searl, 2015).

### Sector Transformation Requires Lived Experience Leadership

Lived experience participation within mental health contributes to the human rights agenda at the heart of the recovery movement (Davidson, 2006; Perkins & Slade, 2012). Persons with lived experience in systemic or educational roles provide a bridge to facilitate better understanding between service providers and those accessing services, contributing to more meaningful service provision and, ultimately, better outcomes for service users (Ostrow & Adams, 2012; Pinches, 2011). Emerging research also suggests lived experience may contribute to less restrictive practice (Greenfield, Stoneking, Humphreys, Sundby, & Bond, 2008) and a reduction in service expenditure (Trachtenberg, Parsonage, Shepherd, & Boardman, 2013). For true system transformation to occur, we argue it is essential for more attention and resources to be allocated to cultivating leadership skills among persons with lived experience of recovery and for opportunities to be created for such

individuals to take on senior-level leadership positions within their respective countries and communities.

## References

- Ahmed, A. O., Hunter, K. M., Mabe, A. P., Tucker, S. J., & Buckley, P. F. (2015). The professional experiences of peer specialists in the Georgia Mental Health Consumer Network. *Community Mental Health Journal, 51*, 424–436. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10597-015-9854-8>
- Anthony, W. A. (1993). Recovery from mental illness: The guiding vision of the mental health service system in the 1990's. *Psychosocial Rehabilitation Journal, 16*, 11–23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0095655>
- Baldwin, M., & Sadd, J. (2006). Allies with attitude! Service users, academics and social service agency staff learning how to share power in running social work education courses. *Social Work Education, 25*, 348–359. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02615470600593543>
- Boyle, D., & Harris, M. (2009). *The challenge of co-production: How equal partnerships between professionals and the public are crucial to improving public services*. London, UK: NESTA.
- Byrne, L., Happell, B., & Reid-Searl, K. (2015). Recovery as a lived experience discipline: A grounded theory study. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing, 36*, 935–943. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01612840.2015.1076548>
- Byrne, L., Happell, B., & Reid-Searl, K. (2017). Acknowledging rural disadvantage in mental health: Views of peer workers. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care, 53*, 259–265.
- Chinman, M., Oberman, R. S., Hanusa, B. H., Cohen, A. N., Salyers, M. P., Twamley, E. W., & Young, A. S. (2015). A cluster randomized trial of adding peer specialists to intensive case management teams in the Veterans Health Administration. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research, 42*, 109–121. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11414-013-9343-1>
- Cleary, M., Walter, G., & Hungerford, C. L. (2014). Recovery and the role of humility: Insights from a case study analysis. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing, 35*, 108–113. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01612840.2013.838812>
- Crane, D. A., Lepicki, T., & Knudsen, K. (2016). Unique and common elements of the role of peer support in the context of traditional mental health services. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 39*, 282–288. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/prj0000186>
- Cronise, R., Teixeira, C., Rogers, E. S., & Harrington, S. (2016). The peer support workforce: Results of a national survey. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 39*, 211–221. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/prj0000222>
- Davidson, L. (2006). What happened to civil rights? *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 30*, 11–14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2975/30.2006.11.14>
- Davidson, L. (2015). Peer support: Coming of age of and/or miles to go before we sleep? An introduction. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research, 42*, 96–99. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11414-013-9379-2>
- Davidson, L., Bellamy, C., Guy, K., & Miller, R. (2012). Peer support among persons with severe mental illnesses: A review of evidence and experience. *World Psychiatry, 11*, 123–128. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wpsyc.2012.05.009>
- Davidson, L., Ridgway, P., O'Connell, M. J., & Kirk, T. A. (2014). Transforming mental health care through the participation of the recovery community. In G. Nelson (Ed.), *Community psychology and community mental health: Towards transformative change* (pp. 89–107). New York, NY: Oxford University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199362424.003.0005>
- Davidson, L., Tondora, J., O'Connell, M. J., Kirk, T., Jr., Rockholz, P., & Evans, A. C. (2007). Creating a recovery-oriented system of behavioral health care: Moving from concept to reality. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 31*, 23–31. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2975/31.1.2007.23.31>
- Deacon, B. J. (2013). The biomedical model of mental disorder: A critical analysis of its validity, utility, and effects on psychotherapy research. *Clinical Psychology Review, 33*, 846–861. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2012.09.007>
- Farkas, M. (2007). The vision of recovery today: What it is and what it means for services. *World Psychiatry, 6*, 68–74. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2219905/>
- Fujita, E., Kato, D., Kuno, E., Suzuki, Y., Uchiyama, S., Watanabe, A., . . . Hirayasu, Y. (2010). Implementing the illness management and recovery program in Japan. *Psychiatric Services, 61*, 1157–1161. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/ps.2010.61.11.1157>
- Greenfield, T. K., Stoneking, B. C., Humphreys, K., Sundby, E., & Bond, J. (2008). A randomized trial of a mental health consumer-managed alternative to civil commitment for acute psychiatric crisis. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 42*, 135–144. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10464-008-9180-1>
- Happell, B., Bennetts, W., Harris, S., Platania-Phung, C., Tohotoa, J., Byrne, L., & Wynaden, D. (2015). Lived experience in teaching mental health nursing: Issues of fear and power. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 24*, 19–27. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/inm.12091>
- Health Workforce Australia. (2013). *Mental health workforce planning data inventory*. Retrieved from [http://www.hwa.gov.au/sites/default/files/HWA-Mental-Health-Workforce-Data-Inventory\\_LR.pdf](http://www.hwa.gov.au/sites/default/files/HWA-Mental-Health-Workforce-Data-Inventory_LR.pdf)
- Jacobson, N., & Curtis, L. (2000). Recovery as policy in mental health services: Strategies emerging from the States. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 23*, 333–341. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0095146>
- Moran, G. S., Russinova, Z., Gidugu, V., & Gagne, C. (2013). Challenges experienced by paid peer providers in mental health recovery: A qualitative study. *Community Mental Health Journal, 49*, 281–291. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10597-012-9541-y>
- Myrick, K., & Del Vecchio, P. (2016). Peer support services in the behavioral healthcare workforce: State of the field. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 39*, 197–203. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/prj0000188>
- O'Hagan, M. (2012). Recovery: Is consensus possible? *World Psychiatry, 11*, 167–168. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.2051-5545.2012.tb00123.x>
- Ostrow, L., & Adams, N. (2012). Recovery in the USA: From politics to peer support. *International Review of Psychiatry, 24*, 70–78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2012.659659>
- Perkins, R., & Slade, M. (2012). Recovery in England: Transforming statutory services? *International Review of Psychiatry, 24*, 29–39. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2011.645025>
- Petrakis, M., Brophy, L., Lewis, J., Stylianou, M., Scott, M., Cocks, N., . . . Halloran, K. (2014). Consumer measures and research co-production: A pilot study evaluating the recovery orientation of a mental health program collaboration. *Asia Pacific Journal of Social Work and Development, 24*, 94–108. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02185385.2014.885212>
- Pinches, A. (2011). *Northern CCU peer support research and development project: Putting the community into community care unit*. Retrieved from [https://www.mindaustralia.org.au/sites/default/files/publications/Putting\\_the\\_Community\\_into\\_Community\\_Care\\_Unit\\_presentation.pdf](https://www.mindaustralia.org.au/sites/default/files/publications/Putting_the_Community_into_Community_Care_Unit_presentation.pdf)
- Rhodes, C., Hardy, J., Padgett, K., Symons, J., Tate, J., & Thornton, S. (2014). The health and well-being of service user and carer educators: A narrative enquiry into the impact of involvement in healthcare education. *International Journal of Practice-based Learning in Health and Social Care, 2*, 51–68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11120/pblh.2013.00025>
- Richmond, P. R. A. (2015). *2015 Annual report: You achieve, we support*. Retrieved from <https://www.flourishaustralia.org.au/annual-reports>
- Shera, W., & Ramon, S. (2013). Challenges in the implementation of recovery-orientated mental health policies and services. *International Journal of Mental Health, 42*, 17–42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2753/IMH0020-7411420202>
- Silver, J., & Nemecek, P. B. (2016). The role of the peer specialists: Unanswered questions. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 39*, 289–291. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/prj0000216>
- Slade, M., Adams, N., & O'Hagan, M. (2012). Recovery: Past progress and future challenges. *International Review of Psychiatry, 24*, 1–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2011.644847>

- Slade, M., Amering, M., Farkas, M., Hamilton, B., O'Hagan, M., Panther, G., . . . Whitley, R. (2014). Uses and abuses of recovery: Implementing recovery-oriented practices in mental health systems. *World Psychiatry, 13*, 12–20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wps.20084>
- Tobin, M., Chen, L., & Leathley, C. (2002). Consumer participation in mental health services: Who wants it and why? *Australian Health Review, 25*, 91–100. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AH020091>
- Trachtenberg, M., Parsonage, M., Shepherd, G., & Boardman, J. (2013). Peer support in mental health care: Is it good value for money? Retrieved from [http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/60793/1/Trachtenberg\\_etal\\_Report-Peer-support-in-mental-health-care-is-it-good-value-for-money\\_2013.pdf](http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/60793/1/Trachtenberg_etal_Report-Peer-support-in-mental-health-care-is-it-good-value-for-money_2013.pdf)
- Tse, S., Cheung, E., Kan, A., Ng, R., & Yau, S. (2012). Recovery in Hong Kong: Service user participation in mental health services. *International Review of Psychiatry, 24*, 40–47. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2011.646244>
- Vayshenker, B., Mulay, A. L., Gonzales, L., West, M. L., Brown, I., & Yanos, P. T. (2016). Participation in peer support services and outcomes related to recovery. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 39*, 274–281. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/prj0000178>
- Walker, L., Perkins, R., & Repper, J. (2014). Creating a recovery focused workforce: Supporting staff well-being and valuing the expertise of lived experience. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion, 18*, 133–141. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MHSI-07-2014-0022>

Received April 27, 2017

Revision received September 15, 2017

Accepted November 20, 2017 ■